

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,389 | 06/14/2006 | Takafumi Suzuki | 2006-0741A | 6578 |
| 513 7590 09/15/2009 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | LACLAIR, DARCY D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/15/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/579,389

Art Unit: 1796

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicants' amendment filed **9/8/2009** has <u>not</u> been entered given that it raises

other new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

With respect to other new issues, the independent Claims 1, 12, 17 and 25 have

been amended to recite that X represents a number from 0.001 rather than 0.01, that

the average secondary particle diameter is from 0.1 to 7 μm , rather than the previously

claimed 0.1 to 10 um, and cancelling the claims drawn to the elected species (e) an

organic acid, in favor of silica based species. It is the examiner's position that this is a

new issue since the limitations of the claim have changed, both by shifting to a new

species not previously elected as well as by narrowing the particle diameter and

changing the range for x. The diameter and x range are supported at p. 5 line 1 and p.

6 line 34 of the specification. Therefore, the amendment would require further

consideration.

2. As the amendment is not being entered, those of applicant's arguments with

regard to overcoming the prior art rejections based on the possibility of entry of

amendment are rendered moot.

/Darcy LaClair/

Patent Examiner

/Vasu Jagannathan/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796